organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

David J. Bray, Leonard F. Lindoy and John C. McMurtrie*

Centre for Heavy Metals Research, School of Chemistry, University of Sydney, New South Wales 2006, Australia

Correspondence e-mail: j.mcmurtrie@chem.usyd.edu.au

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 150 KMean $\sigma(\text{C-C}) = 0.003 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.034 wR factor = 0.094 Data-to-parameter ratio = 10.0

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

2,4,6-Trimethyl-1,3,5-tris(phenoxymethyl)benzene

The asymmetric unit of the title compound, $C_{30}H_{30}O_3$, contains two molecules with similar configurations.

Comment

We are currently investigating the supramolecular chemistry of multitopic ligands and their metal complexes. The title compound, (I), was obtained from one of a series of model experiments aimed at developing optimal conditions for the preparation of these ligands.



The asymmetric unit, illustrated in Fig. 1, contains two conformationally similar molecules, both in general positions. In both molecules, two of the phenoxy arms protrude on one side of the mean plane of the central benzene ring, while the other protrudes on the opposite side. The acute torsion angles that define the rotation of the H₂C-O bonds from the plane of the central benzene ring in each asymmetric molecule are listed in Table 1.

Intermolecular interactions in the crystal structure consist of edge-to-face and offset face-to-face phenyl-phenyl motifs that include the phenoxy pendant arms and the central benzene ring, although many of these display somewhat less than ideal geometric arrangements.

Experimental

1,3,5-Tris(2-bromomethyl)-2,4,6-trimethylbenzene was prepared from 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene according to the method of van der Made & van der Made (1993). Compound (I) was synthesized by a modification of a procedure for the preparation of mixed sulfuroxygen crown macrocycles (Ashton *et al.*, 1997). Phenol (1.9 g, 20 mmol) in acetonitrile (40 ml) was added over a period of 1 h to a refluxing mixture of 1,3,5-tris(bromomethyl)-2,4,6-trimethylbenzene (2.0 g, 5.0 mmol), potassium carbonate (2.80 g, 20.3 mmol), acetonitrile (70 ml) and tetrahydrofuran (100 ml). The mixture was refluxed for 24 h, then filtered hot. The filtrate was evaporated under vacuum and the resulting solid was recrystallized from a mixture of acetone and water to give a fine white crystalline product (1.64 g, 75%), m.p. 440–442 K. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ 2.45 (9H, *s*, 3 ×

© 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Received 12 September 2003 Accepted 19 September 2003 Online 24 September 2003 CH₃), 5.10 (6H, *s*, 3 × CH₂), 6.96–7.35 (15H, *m*, 3 × C₆H₅). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75.5 MHz, 300 K): δ 16.28 (CH₃), 65.26 (CH₂), 115.03, 121.35, 129.91 (aromatic), 132.24, 139.70, 159.55 (quaternary aromatic). MS (ES) *m*/*z* = 461.3 (*M* + Na)⁺. Elemental microanalysis, found (%): C 82.01, H 6.87; C₃₀H₃₀O₃ requires (%): C 82.16, H 6.89. Multi-faceted colourless crystals of sufficient quality for X-ray analysis were obtained by recrystallization from acetonitrile.

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

reflections

 $\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 150 (2) K

Multi-faced, colourless

 $0.41 \times 0.30 \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$

 $\theta = 2.3 - 28.2^{\circ}$

Cell parameters from 9826

Crystal data

 $C_{30}H_{30}O_3$ $M_r = 438.54$ Orthorhombic, *Pna2*₁ a = 16.103 (3) Å b = 10.8827 (19) Å c = 27.396 (5) Å $V = 4801.1 (15) Å^3$ Z = 8 $D_x = 1.213 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection

Siemens SMART 1000 CCD	6036 independent reflections
diffractometer	5477 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
ω scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.026$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 28.4^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1999)	$h = -21 \rightarrow 21$
$T_{\min} = 0.901, T_{\max} = 0.980$	$k = -14 \rightarrow 14$
46 026 measured reflections	$l = -36 \rightarrow 36$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0549P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$	+ 0.8154P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.094$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.03	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
6036 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.24 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
601 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.19 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

Table 1

Selected torsion angles ($^{\circ}$).

C2-C1-C7-O1	78.5 (2)	C36-C31-C37-O4	-80.6(2)
4-C3-C15-O2	-87.0(2)	C34-C33-C45-O5	66.3 (2)
C4-C5-C23-O3	-64.7(2)	C34-C35-C53-O6	88.1 (2)

CH H atoms were included in idealized positions and refined using a riding model, with methylene, methyl and aromatic C—H bond lengths fixed at 0.99, 0.98 and 0.95 Å, respectively. $U_{\rm iso}$ values were fixed at 1.2 times the $U_{\rm eq}$ value of the parent C atoms for methylene and aromatic H atoms and at 1.5 times the $U_{\rm eq}$ value of the parent C atoms for methyl H atoms. There is no crystallographic relationship between the two molecules in the asymmetric unit, confirming that the correct space group symmetry is non-centrosymmetric $Pna2_1$ and not centrosymmetric Pnma. Furthermore, no acceptable solution could be found in Pnma. The Flack (1983) parameter, x, was refined but, due to the absence of heavy atoms, a reliable value could not be obtained (x = 0.0, s.u 0.6). It was not, therefore, possible to assign the correct absolute structure and, accordingly, Friedel pairs were merged for the final least-squares cycles.

Data collection: *SMART* (Siemens, 1995); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Siemens, 1995); data reduction: *SAINT* and *XPREP* (Siemens, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR97* (Altomare *et al.*, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997) and *WinGX-32*



Figure 1

An *ORTEP*-3 plot (Farrugia, 1997) of the asymmetric unit of (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

(Farrugia, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *enCIFer* (CCDC, 2003).

We acknowledge the Australian Research Council for support.

References

- Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Moliterni, A. G. G., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 115–119.
- Ashton, P. R., Burns, A. L., Claessens, C. G., Shimizu, G. K. H., Small, K., Stoddart, J. F., White, A. J. P. & Williams, D. J. (1997). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1493–1496.
- CCDC (2003). *enCIFer*. Version 1.0. Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, England.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Made, A. W. van der & van der Made, R. H. (1993). J. Org. Chem. 58, 1262– 1263.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1999). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1995). SMART, SAINT and XPREP. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.